

DOCKET NO. 146800

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Serial Number. 10/655,988  
Application to Reissue U.S. Pat. 5,405,911  
Filed: Sept. 4, 2003  
Inventor: Handlin, Jr., et al.  
For: Butadiene Polymers Having Terminal Functional Groups  
Notice of Reissue Application Published in O.G.: December 23, 2003

**DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PROTEST**

I, Roderic P. Quirk, hereby depose and say that:

1. I am currently a Distinguished Professor of Polymer Science and the Kumho Professor of Polymer Science in the Department of Polymer Science at the University of Akron.
2. I have been a Professor of Polymer Science at the University of Akron for 20 years.
3. I have been teaching and doing research in polymer science for 30 years.
4. My main research area in the synthesis and characterization of polymers, especially chain-end functionalized polymers, using anionic polymerization.
5. I have published more than 200 scientific papers and I am the inventor or co-inventor on 28 patents.
6. I have also co-authored a book on anionic polymerization and edited five other books.
7. I have carefully read the patents of D. L. Handlin, D. E. Goodwin, C. L. Willis, D. J. St. Clair, J. D. Wildy, M. J. Modie and C. A. Stevens (U.S. 5,405,911 and 5,393,843) and have been requested to comment on whether or not the claims made by the inventors are obvious to one who is skilled in the art.
8. Handlin et al. claim that the melt viscosities of the hydrogenated, telechelic, dihydroxy polybutadienes are unexpectedly small compared to other analogous, commercial polymers. However, the analogous, commercial polymers all have a different microstructure (content of comonomer units) along the polymer chain.
9. The Handlin et al. polymers have microstructures that correspond to 50-52 % ethylethylene units, while the allegedly analogous commercial polymers have ca. 85 % ethylethylene units.

## DOCKET NO. 146800

10. For both polymers, the remainder of the comonomer units correspond to ethylene units.

Since well before the filing date of the Handlin et al. patent application, it has been well known to polymer scientists skilled in this art that the viscosity of a polymer depends on the temperature at which the viscosity is measured relative to the polymer glass transition temperature. This was established in 1955 by the seminal paper by Williams, Landel and Ferry [M. L. Williams, R. F. Landel, J. D. Ferry, Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 77, p. 3701(1955)]. The relationship between these quantities is embodied in the well-known WLF equation. The viscosity is higher when the measurement temperature is close to the glass transition temperature of a polymer and it decreases as the measurement temperature increases compared to the glass transition temperature. For example, the WLF relationship is described in the polymer textbook of Sperling ("Introduction to Physical Polymer Science", L. H. Sperling, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1986, p. 261) where  $T_g$  is the glass transition temperature,  $\eta$  is the viscosity at temperature  $T$ ,  $\eta_g$  is the viscosity at the  $T_g$ .

$$\text{Log } (\eta/\eta_g) = -17.44(T-T_g)/51.6 + T-T_g$$

For a reference temperature set to an arbitrary temperature,  $T_s$ ,

(e.g. 50 °C above  $T_g$ ), the Williams, Landel, Ferry (WLF) constants provide the following equation where  $T_s$  is the reference temperature,  $\eta$  is the viscosity at temperature  $T$ ,  $\eta_s$  is the viscosity at the reference temperature.

$$\text{Log } (\eta/\eta_s) = -8.86(T-T_s)/101.6 + T-T_s$$

These equations embody fundamental relationships that are known to one skilled in the art of polymer science. These equations show that the viscosity decreases as the temperature is increased above the glass transition temperature. Furthermore, these equations are general, i.e., they do not depend on the structure of the polymer other than these relationships are general for amorphous polymers.

12. The consequences of these relationships are clear also. If one compares viscosities for different polymers of a family of polymers at the same temperature, the polymer that has a higher glass transition temperature (i.e., a  $T_g$  closer to the measurement temperature) will

## DOCKET NO. 146800

exhibit a higher viscosity. However, if the viscosities of these same two polymers in a family of polymers are both measured at the same temperature interval above their respective glass transition temperatures (e.g.  $T - T_g$ ), they will exhibit the same viscosities. Therefore, if two polymers in a family of polymers have different glass transition temperatures, they would be expected to exhibit different viscosities at some arbitrary measurement temperature (e.g., at 24 °C as described in U.S. 3,629,172).

13. These fundamental principles have been well known for many years (e.g. since 1955) to polymer scientists skilled in this art and working with viscosities of polymers. These concepts have been thoroughly and clearly presented in the classic textbook by John D. Ferry, "Viscoelastic Properties of Polymers", 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Wiley, 1980. It is noteworthy that the first edition of this text appeared in 1961.
14. With respect to the glass transition temperatures of the polymers in question, it is useful to consider the two extremes. Polyethylene would correspond to a hydrogenated polybutadiene with no ethylethylene branches. Although this polymer would be expected to be semi-crystalline, the estimated  $T_g$  for this hypothetical polymer is - 80 ° C (see R. F. Boyer, "Transitions and Relaxations" in Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology, Supplement No. 2, Wiley, New York, 1977, p. 812). For the polymer at the other extreme of the structure spectrum, polyethylethylene [i.e. also referred to as poly(1-butene)] has a  $T_g$  of ca. -33 °C (see Handbook of Polymer Handbook, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, J. Brandrup, E. H. Immergut, E. A. Grulke, Eds, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1999, p. V206). In general the  $T_g$  of copolymers varies in a systematic way depending on the composition and  $T_g$  of the comonomers as expressed by the Fox equation (see Sperling, loc. cit., p. 277) shown below

$$1/T_g = (M_1/T_{g1}) + (M_2/T_{g2})$$

where  $T_g$  is the glass transition temperature of the copolymer with the composition of mass  $M_1$  of comonomer 1 and mass  $M_2$  of comonomer 2 and  $T_{g1}$  is the glass transition temperature of the pure homopolymer of monomer 1 and  $T_{g2}$  is the glass transition temperature of pure monomer 2. This equation predicts a linear relationship between composition of the copolymer and the glass transition temperature of the copolymer. Once again, this relationship has been common knowledge to those skilled in the art of polymer science for many years.

DOCKET NO. 146800

15. From the Fox equation and the glass transition temperatures of the two homopolymers [polyethylene  $T_g \approx -80^\circ\text{C}$  and polyethylethylene with  $T_g \approx -33^\circ\text{C}$ ], it is straightforward to predict that the glass transition temperatures of the family of ethylene/ethylethylene copolymers (i.e., the products of hydrogenation of polybutadienes with different amounts of 1,4 and 1,2-microstructures) would increase with increasing amounts of ethylethylene units. Thus, the analogous commercial polymers with higher contents of ethylethylene units would have higher  $T_g$  values. Correspondingly, these commercial polymers would also be expected to have higher viscosities relative to the polymers in question in the Handlin et al. patent because the Handlin polymers have lower contents of ethylethylene units and therefore would be expected to have lower  $T_g$  values and lower viscosities. As a consequence of these fundamental principles that should be well known to anyone skilled in the art in polymer science, the observations of lower viscosities for the polymers in the patent relative to the allegedly analogous commercial polymers is not unexpected; in fact, it is expected based on basic principles of polymer science, i.e., the WLF equation.

16. The effect of chain microstructure on the viscoelastic properties of the family of hydrogenated polybutadienes and the applicability of the WLF relationship to correlate these data have been described by J. M. Carella, W. W. Graessley, F. J. Fetters, *Macromolecules*, vol. 17, p. 2775(1984).

April 20, 2004  
Date

Roderic P. Quirk  
Roderic P. Quirk

PHILADELPHIA  
ATLANTA  
CHARLOTTE  
CHERRY HILL  
CHICAGO  
DALLAS  
DENVER  
LAS VEGAS  
LONDON  
LOS ANGELES



A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 20 2004

OFFICIAL

NEWARK  
NEW YORK  
SAN DIEGO  
SAN FRANCISCO  
SEATTLE  
TRENTON  
WASHINGTON, DC  
WEST CONSHOHOCKEN  
WICHITA  
WILMINGTON

1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3508 215.665.2000 800.523.2900 215.665.2013 FAX www.cozen.com

## FACSIMILE

FROM: Michael B. Fein TIMEKEEPER NO.: 1746  
SENDER'S PHONE: 215.665.4622 SENDER'S FAX: 215.701.2246  
# OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): FILE NAME: PROTEST TO REISSUE OF U.S. PAT  
5,405,911 - KRATON MATTER  
DATE: April 20, 2004 FILE #: 146800

RECIPIENT(S)	PHONE	FAX
USPTO - Reissue		703 872-9306

MESSAGE: Serial No. 10/655,988

Following is: Supplement to Protest on 3 pages plus Declaration of Dr. Roderic Quirk in Support of Protest on -  
4 pages -- including this cover sheet 9 pages

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CALL 215.665.2000 or 800.523.2900 IMMEDIATELY.

THIS TRANSMISSION IS ALSO BEING SENT VIA:

- ☐ Regular Mail  
☐ Certified Mail  
☐ Hand Delivery  
☐ Overnight Mail  
☐ Federal Express  
☐ E-Mail

## NOTICE

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential. It is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended addressee, the reader is hereby notified that any consideration, dissemination or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If the addressee has received this communication in error, please return this transmission to us at the above address by mail. We will reimburse you for postage. In addition, if this communication was received in the U.S., please notify us immediately by phoning and asking for the Fax Center.